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Narrow-band index studies suggest:

- younger ages in field than in cluster
  (e.g. Bender+ 96; Longhetti+ 98, 99, 00; Trager+ 00; Kuntschner+ 02; Thomas+05; Denicolo’ +05; Gallazzi +05, Clemens+06, 09)

- larger metallicities in field than in cluster
  (e.g. Kuntschner+ 02; Thomas+05)

No age difference between ETGs in cluster and field

(e.g., di Serego Alighieri et al. (2006); van Dokkum & van der Marel (2006))
The Sample

It is composed of 65 nearby (cz < 5500 Km/s) early–type galaxies:

Selection criteria: ISM traces in at least one of the following:
IRAS 100 µm, X-ray, radio, HI and CO
+ 10 galaxies from Gonzalez (93) sample
The Sample

It is composed of 65 nearby (cz < 5500 Km/s) early-type galaxies:

- Selection criteria:
  - ISM traces in at least one of the following:
    - IRAS 100 µm, X-ray, radio, HI and CO
    - + 10 galaxies from Gonzalez (93) sample

- Emission in 78% of the sample (consistent with recent data of early-type, e.g. Falcón-Barroso et al. 2006)
The Sample

It is composed of 65 nearby (cz < 5500 Km/s) early-type galaxies: 50 (Rampazzo+05, A&A 433, 497) + 15 (Annibali+06, A&A 445, 79)

- Selection criteria:
  ISM traces in at least one of the following: IRAS 100 μm, X-ray, radio, HI and CO + 10 galaxies from Gonzalez (93) sample

- Morphological types: 68% E, 32% S0
The Sample


✓ Selection criteria: ISM traces in at least one of the following: IRAS 100 µm, X-ray, radio, HI and CO + 10 galaxies from Gonzalez (93) sample

✓ Morphological types: 68 % E, 32 % S0

✓ Environment: (0.1-4) gal / Mpc³ (Tully 1988)
We have acquired intermediate resolution spectra with 1.5m ESO in the (3700-7000) Å range.

Extraction of 7 apertures (1.5”, 2.5”, 10”, r_e/10, r_e/8, r_e/4, r_e/2) and 4 gradients (r < r_e/16, r_e/16 < r < r_e/8, r_e/8 < r < r_e/4, r_e/4 < r < r_e/2).

Computation of 25 Lick Indices:
(21 from Trager +98 plus 4 from Worthey & Ottaviani 97)

- Correction for galaxy velocity dispersion
- Correction for emission (Hβ)
- Calibration from Lick standard stars
Index Gradients

Mg2
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Indices versus $\sigma$
Lick-index diagnostic diagram

SSPs from Annibali et al 2007

1 Gyr < age < 16 Gyr
0.008 < Z < 0.05
0 < [$\alpha$/Fe] < 0.4
**Age, Z and [$\alpha$/Fe]**

(Annibali et al 2007)

<age>=8 Gyr

<[$M/H]$>=0.21

<[$\alpha$/Fe]>=0.21

NB: linked to SF time-scale!
Age, $Z$ and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$  
(Annibali et al 2007)

$\langle \text{age} \rangle = 6.3 \text{ Gyr}$  
$\langle [\text{M/H}] \rangle = 0.19$  
$\langle [\alpha/\text{Fe}] \rangle = 0.17$
Age, Z and [$\alpha$/Fe]
(Annibali et al 2007)

$<\text{age}>$=8.7 Gyr
$<\text{[M/H]>}=0.22$
$<\text{[\alpha/Fe]>}=0.23$
The Role of Galaxy Mass
(Annibali et al 2007)

Spearman test: 99% probability for a correlation to exist

no clear correlation
The Effect of Environment

\[ \rho_{xyz} \text{ (gal} \times \text{Mpc}^{-3}) \text{ available for 73 \% of the sample (Tully 1988)} \]

The youngest galaxies are found in the lowest density environments.
The Effect of Environment

$\rho_{xyz} \text{ (gal} \times \text{Mpc}^{-3})$ available for 73% of the sample (Tully 1988)

The youngest galaxies are found in the lowest density environments

<4 Gyr
The Effect of Environment

- Very young galaxies are present in LDE
- Environment has no effect on $Z - \sigma$ and $[\alpha/Fe] - \sigma$ relations
The Effect of Environment

- Very young galaxies are present in LDE
- Environment has no effect on Z–σ and [α/Fe]–σ relations

- Rejuveation episodes or more prolonged SF?

No difference in [α/Fe]–σ between LDE and HDE
Computation of the emission lines requires careful subtraction of the underlying stellar spectrum.

We fit the galaxy spectra with new SSPs (Bressan (unpublished), Clemens et al. 2009, Chavez et al. 2009) based on the MILES empirical stellar library.
SSPs


✓ ISOCHRONES:

Massive stars: Padova 94 (revision by Bressan, Granato & Silva 1998, including MIR and new AGB mas loss)

Low and intermediate mass stars: Padova 08 (Bertelli et al. 2008, including Post-AGB stars)

✓ SPECTRAL LIBRARY:

Optical: MILES (Sanchez-Blazquez et al. 06), λλ 3525 - 7500 Å at 2.3 Å FWHM

UV: (Rodriguez-Merino et al. 2005)

NIR: (Lejeune et al. 1997)

Cool stars integrated by NEXTGEN models (Hauschildt et al. 1999)
SSPs: calibration on LMC clusters
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(Annibali et al. in preparation)
Diagnostic Diagram

(Annibali et al. in preparation)

$r < r_e/16$
Diagnostic Diagram

Kewley et al. 2006
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LINERs: Low accretion rate AGNs? (e.g., Kewley et al 06)

Photoioniz from hot stars? (e.g., Sarzi et al 06)

Shocks?
Diagnostic Diagram

\[ r < \frac{r_e}{16} \]

\[ \frac{r_e}{16} < r < \frac{r_e}{8} \]
$r < r_e/16$

$r_e/16 < r < r_e/8$

$r_e/8 < r < r_e/4$
Diagnostic Diagram

IC 5063
NGC 1052
Seyfert

$r < r_e/16$
Diagnostic Diagram
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NGC 1052

$0 < r < r_e/16$

$r_e/16 < r < r_e/8$
Diagnostic Diagram

IC 5063
NGC 1052

$r < r_e/16$

$r_e/16 < r < r_e/8$

$r_e/8 < r < r_e/4$
The MIR View

(see poster of Rampazzo et al.)

IRS - Spitzer data for 39 ETGs out of 65 (Pis: Bergman, Kaneda, Rampazzo)
The MIR View
(see poster of Rampazzo et al.)
IRS - Spitzer data for 39 ETGs out of 65 (PIs: Bergman, Kaneda, Rampazzo)
The MIR View
(seen poster of Rampazzo et al.)
IRS - Spitzer data for 39 ETGs out of 65 (PIs: Bergman, Kaneda, Rampazzo)
MID INFRARED
(Panuzzo et al. in preparation)
Conclusions and future perspectives

✅ We derive $<\text{age}> = 8 \text{ Gyr}, <[\text{M/H}]> = 0.2$ and $<[\alpha/\text{Fe}]> = 0.2$ for the total sample. S0 are $\sim 2 \text{ Gyr}$ younger and slightly less metal rich and $\alpha$-enhanced than E.

✅ The galaxy potential well is the main driver of the chemical path, more massive galaxies exhibiting the more efficient chemical enrichment and shorter SF timescales.

✅ Galaxies in LDE show signature of recent rejuvenation episodes.

✅ Emission lines are measured from the spectra by fitting the underlying stellar contribution with new SSPs models based on empirical spectral libraries and calibrated against LMC clusters.

✅ According to standard emission - line diagnostic diagrams the majority of the galaxies are classified as LINERs. Powering source not clear yet (need to further investigate correlation with the stellar population parameters).

✅ MIR seems very promising in making more light…
New Simple Stellar Populations with $\alpha$--enhancement

(Other $\alpha$--enhanced models in the literature: Tantalo, Bressan & Chiosi 98; Trager+00; Thomas+03; Thomas, Maraston & Korn 04; Tantalo & Chiosi 2004; Tantalo et al. 04; Korn, Maraston & Thomas 05).

Our new SSPs are based on:

- Padova Stellar Isochrones (Bressan+94, Bertelli+94)
- Narrow–band index Fitting Functions (Worthey+94, Worthey & Ottaviani 97)
- Index Responses to element abundance variations (Korn+05)
- Revision of index dependence on element abundance (tests with ATLAS12)

Our models are computed for:

Ages: (1 - 16) Gyr; $Z$: (0.0004 - 0.05); $[\alpha/Fe]$: (0 -0.8)

www.stsci.edu/~annibali or http://www.inaoep.mx/~abressan

See also paper : Annibali et al. 2007 A&A 463, 455
Constraining the mass in Rejuvenation episodes

OPTICAL + IR + UV
Constraining the mass in Rejuvenation episodes

**OPTICAL + MIR**

- MIR (Spitzer) combined with optical bands very powerful in breaking age-metallicity degeneracy (Virgo ETG, Bressan et al. 2006)
Constraining the mass in Rejuvenation episodes

OPTICAL + IR + UV

✓ UV (GALEX) data sensitive to mass of young stars and extinction
✓ FUV / NUV sensitive to ratio of young to old stellar populations

NGC4435:
8 Gyr (98.5%) + 200 Myr (1.5%)
(Panuzzo et al. 2007)
New UV and MIR data for Field ETGs

GALEX and Spitzer data for galaxies selected from the optical sample of 65 field ETGs (Rampazzo et al. 05 + Annibali et al. 06)

- 19 ETGs (active), Cycle 1 and 2

Spitzer IRS

- 18 ETGs Cycle 3 (PI Rampazzo: P30256)
  - $F_{60\mu m}/Ks_{tot} < 0.6$
  - measure of $\rho_{xyz}$
  - no foreground stars

GALEX FUV / NUV imaging

- 14 ETGs in Cycle 1 and 2
- 16 ETGs Cycle 3 (PI Rampazzo: GI3-0087)
NGC1553
From optical, lum-weighted age of 5 Gyr!
Conclusions

Study of a sample of 65 Field early-type galaxies:

- We derive $<\text{age}> = 8$ Gyr, $<[\text{M}/\text{H}]>=0.2$ and $<[\alpha/\text{Fe}]>=0.2$ for the total sample. S0 are $\sim 2$ Gyr younger and slightly less metal rich and $\alpha$-enhanced than E.

- The galaxy potential well is the main driver of the chemical path, more massive galaxies exhibiting the more efficient chemical enrichment and shorter SF timescales.

- Galaxies in LDE show signature of recent rejuvenation episodes.

- The combination of OPTICAL + IR + UV data will provide the age and mass involved in rejuvenation episodes.
The presence of a well established gradient in Z but not in $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ indicates that SF proceeded on similar timescales across $r_e/2$ but with larger efficiency in the center.
Age – Metallicity Degeneracy

Log(Age) vs. Z for various NGC galaxies.
Gradients
Effect of Environment

- Very young galaxies are present in LDE
- Environment has no effect on $Z-\sigma$ and $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]-\sigma$ relations

- Rejuvenation episodes or more prolonged SF?
  - No difference in $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]-\sigma$ between LDE and HDE

- What is the mass involved in the rej episode?
  - 15% of the sample rejuvenated
  - We assume epoch of formation $\sim 8$ Gyr
  - Since then all galaxies experience rej event with probability of the halo merging rate, $\sim (1+z)^{3/2}$ (La Fevre+00)

  Mass fraction of the young population is only 12%
Field vs cluster early–type galaxies

✓ Scaling relations show larger scatter than in cluster

✓ Scaling relations evolve faster with z than in cluster

✓ Narrow-band index studies suggest:
  ❖ younger ages than in cluster
    (e.g. Bender+ 96; Longhetti+ 98, 99, 00; Trager+ 00; Kuntschner+ 02; Thomas+05; Denicolo’+05; Gallazzi +05, Clemens+06)
  ❖ larger metallicities than in cluster
    (e.g. Kuntschner+ 02; Thomas+05)

delayed SF?
more prolonged SF?
secondary episodes of SF?
The Sample

It is composed of 65 nearby (cz < 5500 Km/s) early-type galaxies:
The Effect of Galaxy Mass
(Annibali et al 2007)

- No clear trend of age with $\sigma$
- Significant trend of Z with $\sigma$
- Significant trend of $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ with $\sigma$

Galaxy gravitational potential drives chemical enrichment
Emission Lines
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